Utilitarianism and the original position

1 Why the parties might choose average utilitarianism

Choices Possible outcome 1 (probability × value = expected value)			(p	ossible outo robability > spected valu	value =	Expected utility (sum of expected values)	
One .7	ne .75 × 100 = 75			5 × 160 = 4	0	115	
Two .3	.33 × 99 = 33			6 × 150 = 10	00	133	
	Table ⁻	ı Illustra	ation	of maximi	zing expect	ted utility	
Principles	A	В	С	D	E	Total	Average
Set One	10	45	40	70	50	215	215 ÷ 5 = 43
Set Two	6o	25	30	20	65	200	200 ÷ 5 = 40
		Та	ble 2	2 Average	utility		
Princi- ples	A	В		С	D	Е	Total
Set One	10 ÷ 5 =	2 45 ÷ 5	= 9	40 ÷ 5 =	70 ÷ 5 =	50 ÷ 5 =	sum = 43
Set Two	60 ÷ 5 =	25 ÷ 5	= 5	30 ÷ 5 = 6	20 ÷ 5 = 4	65 ÷ 5 =	sum = 40
		-					

Table 3 Expected utility assuming equal probabilities

2 What probabilities do they not know?

The probability of being any particular person?

"The first difficulty with the average principle I have already mentioned in discussing the maximin rule as a heuristic device for arranging the arguments favoring the two principles. It concerns the way that a rational individual is to estimate probabilities. This question arises because there seem to be no objective grounds in the initial situation for assuming that one has an equal chance of turning out to be anybody."

The probability of being in any particular circumstances?

"Since the maximin rule takes no account of probabilities, that is, of how likely it is that the circumstances obtain for their respective worst outcomes to be realized, the first condition [under which it makes sense to use the maximin rule] is that the parties have no reliable basis for estimating the probabilities of the possible social circumstances that affect the fundamental interests of the persons they represent."²

¹ John Rawls, A Theory of Justice, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1999), rev. ed., 145.

² John Rawls, Justice as Fairness: A Restatement, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2001), 98.